

Jingwei Wu

Private and Public on Social Network Sites

Differences and Similarities between
Germany and China in a Globalized World



Contents

- I. Introduction15
 - 1.1 The Problem.....15
 - 1.2 Organization of Dissertation20
- II. Conceptual Framework for Studying the Boundary Between “the Private” and “the Public”23
 - 2.1 A Historical Overview of the Definitions of “the Private” and “the Public”23
 - 2.2 Theoretical Frameworks of the Boundary between “Private” and “Public”27
 - 2.3 Private/Public Distinction: Decision by Social Contexts.....33
 - 2.4 Focus of Research.....42
- III. Boundary Management Pertaining to SNSs.....43
 - 3.1 “Private” and “Public” on SNSs43
 - 3.1.1 Private Character of SNSs..... 44
 - 3.1.2 Public Character of SNSs..... 45
 - 3.1.3 SNSs: Still at the Forefront of Evolving Public Sphere..... 47
 - 3.2 Information Flow on SNSs: from Private to Public Space54
 - 3.2.1 Self-disclosure 55
 - 3.2.2 Political Expression 56
 - 3.3 Mechanism of Boundary Management.....58
 - 3.3.1 Social Exchange Theory 60
 - 3.3.2 Social Penetration Theory 62
 - 3.3.3 Communication Privacy Management Theory 65
 - 3.3.4 Boundary Management on SNSs..... 68

IV. “The Private” and “The Public”: Differences and Similarities in a Globalized Germany and China	71
4.1 “The Private” and “The Public” in German Society	71
4.1.1 Historical Transformation of Concepts of “Private” and “Public” in Germany	72
4.1.2 Increasing Privacy Concerns and Expanding Public Space on SNSs	74
4.2 “The Private” and “The Public” in Chinese Society	77
4.2.1 Cultural Backgrounds and Historical Review of “The Private” and “The Public” in China	77
4.2.2 “The Private” and “The Public” as Merging Concepts on SNSs	82
4.3 Globalization: Digital Differences and Similarities of the Boundary between “The Private” and “The Public”	84
4.3.1 Media Freedom and Media System	87
4.3.2 Economic Development	89
4.3.3 Globalization and Culture	91
V. Proposing Models of the Boundary Between “The Private” and “The Public” on SNSs	101
5.1 Proposing a Model for Self-disclosure	101
5.2 Proposing a Model for Political Expression	110
5.3 Self-disclosure and Political Expression under a Cross-Cultural Context	119
VI. Research Method	121
6.1 Combining Quantitative Questionnaires and Qualitative Interviews	121
6.2 The Procedure of Data Collection and Data Quality	124
6.3 Variables and Measurements	128

VII. Results	137
7.1 Results of Online Questionnaire	137
7.1.1 Description of Survey Respondents	137
7.1.2 Self-disclosure and Model Testing	141
7.1.3 Political Expression and Model Testing	149
7.1.4 Cross-cultural Differences of the Boundary between “The Private” and “The Public”	154
7.2 Results of Qualitative Interview	165
7.2.1 Name, Photo and Profile Information	165
7.2.2 The Parameters for Personal Information	172
7.2.3 Trust on SNSs	176
7.2.4 Target Audience on SNSs	177
7.2.5 The Boundary of Political Expression	178
7.2.6 The Use of SNSs Increases Political Expression	179
7.2.7 Self-censorship on SNSs	180
7.2.8 Political Efficacy vs. SNSs Efficacy on SNSs	182
7.2.9 Trust in Public/State TV or Private TV	185
7.2.10 SNSs as Private and Public Spaces	187
VIII. Conclusions and Discussion	191
8.1 Summary of Research Goals	191
8.2 Review of Research Findings	193
8.2.1 The Boundary between “The Private” and “The Public” on SNS	193
8.2.2 Differences and Similarities in a Globalized Germany and China	196
8.3 Limitations, Discussions and Suggestions for Further Research	198
List of Tables	201
List of Figures	203
References	205

Appendixes..... 231

 A. Questionnaire 231

 B. Question for Semi-structured Interview..... 238

 C. Interviewed SNSs users’ Demographics..... 240